

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH AND STUDIES (84.017)**TECHNICAL REVIEW FORM****Research, Studies & Surveys***Applicant's Name:**Application Number:**Project Director's Name:***Part I - CRITERIA PROFILE**

<i>Criteria</i>	<i>Maximum</i>	<i>Points Assigned</i>
1. Need	10	
2. Usefulness/Replicability	10	
3. New knowledge	10	
4. Formulation of Problem	10	
5. Statement of Procedures	10	
6. Adequacy of Research Methodology	10	
7. Plan of Operation	10	
8. Quality of Personnel	10	
9. Budget and Cost Effectiveness	10	
10. Evaluation Plan	5	
11. Adequacy of Resources	5	
12. Priorities (if applicable)	5	
TOTAL POINTS	105	

Part II - GENERAL COMMENTS*Please summarize the application's strengths and weaknesses:*

Please continue on page two

SIGNATURE OF REVIEWER*I have reviewed this application in accordance with the criteria published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.*

(Signature)

(Date)

1. NEED FOR THE PROJECT (Rate this criterion from **0 to 10** points)

- a) Is there a need for the proposed research or study in the educational field to be served?
- b) If the project concerns an assessment of needs in any of the areas mandated under Section 605, is the project likely to be helpful in determining present or future needs in foreign language and other fields in the context of the national interest of the United States?

POINTS

Comments:

2. USEFULNESS OF RESULTS AND REPLICABILITY (Rate this criterion from **0 to 10**)

- a) Do the anticipated research results have a good potential for being utilized by other projects or programs for similar educational purposes?
- b) Are the anticipated research results --if pertinent-- replicable?

Comments:

3. DEVELOPMENT OF NEW KNOWLEDGE (Rate this criterion from **0 to 10**)

To what extent can the proposed activity be expected to develop new knowledge which will contribute to the purposes of the International Educations programs (under Title VI, Part A, Higher Education Act) ?

Comments:

4. FORMULATION OF PROBLEMS/ KNOWLEDGE OF RELATED RESEARCH

POINTS

(Rate this criterion from **0 to 10**)

- a) Are the problems, questions, or hypotheses to be dealt with well formulated?
- b) Does this formulation reflect adequate knowledge of other, past or on-going, related research?

Comments:

5. STATEMENT OF PROCEDURE (Rate this criterion from **0 to 10**)

- a) Is the statement of procedures to be followed adequate and specific (including whenever applicable, information on sampling techniques, controls, data to be gathered, and/or statistical and other analyses to be made)?

Comments:

6. ADEQUACY OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY/SCOPE

(Rate this criterion from **0 to 10**)

- a) Are the proposed research, teaching or testing methods appropriate to the aims of this project and are they adequate to achieve the expected results?
- b) Are the size, scope, and duration of the project sufficient so as to secure meaningful research results?

Comments:

POINTS

7. PLAN OF OPERATION (Rate this criterion from **0 to 10**)

- a) Does the design of the project show high quality?
- b) Is the plan of management effective? Will it ensure proper and effective administration?
- c) Is there a clear description of how the objectives of the project relate to the purpose of the (Section 605) International Research and Studies program?
- d) In what way in which the applicant plans to use its resources and personnel to achieve each objective?
- e) To what extent will the applicant provide equal access treatment for eligible members of racial and ethnic minorities, women, handicapped persons, and the elderly?

Comments:

8. QUALITY OF PERSONNEL (Rate this criterion from **0 to 10**)

- a) Is there evidence of professional competence to direct this project on the part of the project director or principal investigator?
- b) Is there evidence of the qualifications of the other key personnel, if any, to participate in the project?
- c) Is the amount of time that the key person(s) plan to commit to the project appropriate to the projects need?
- d) To what extent does the applicant, as part of its non-discriminatory employment practices, encourage applications for employment from members of groups that have been traditionally underrepresented, such as members of ethnic minorities, women, handicapped persons, and the elderly?

9. BUDGET AND COST EFFECTIVENESS

- a) Is the proposed budget adequate to support the proposed project activities?
- b) Are the costs reasonable in relation to the objectives of the project?

Comments:

10. EVALUATION PLAN (Rate this criterion from **0 to 5**)

POINTS

- a) Does the application show methods of evaluation that are appropriate?
for the project and, to the extent possible, are the objective(s) and the product
data quantifiable?

Comments:

11. ADEQUACY OF RESOURCESS (Rate this criterion from **0 to 5**)

- a) Does the applicant have adequate facilities to conduct the project?
b) Are the equipment and supplies which the applicant plans to use adequate?











